Definition of Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F Systems
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Abstract: The Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n System model is the generalization of the Multi-state k-out-of-n System model, which finds wide applications in industry. However, only Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System models have been defined and studied in most recent research works. The mirror image of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System – the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System has not been clearly defined and discussed. In this short communication, the basic definition of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System model is proposed. The relationship between the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System and the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System is also analyzed.
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Notation

\( n \)  number of components in the system
\( W \)  weight of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System
\( w_i \)  random variable representing the weight of component \( i \)
\( w_{ij} \)  weight of component \( i \) in state \( j \)
\( G \)  weight of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System
\( M \)  perfect performing state of the system
\( \Phi^f \)  state of the system
\( k_j \)  a predefined value corresponding state \( j^f \)
\( \Omega_o \)  composition operator of universal generating functions

1. Introduction

A Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System model is a flexible tool for modeling complex engineering systems [1]. However, the computational burden becomes the crucial factor for reliability evaluation of Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G Systems [2]. The methods for reliability evaluation can be computationally inefficient, which are limited to analysis of small systems. The Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System is an equivalent of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System [3]. A Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System can be transformed into the equivalent of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F system and then be analyzed using the reliability evaluation methods. It has been illustrated in [3] that this transformation can be used for analyzing reliabilities of large-scale Multi-state k-out-of-n Systems. Therefore reliability evaluation of Multi-state
Weighted k-out-of-n: F Systems may provide an efficient way for reducing computational complexities.

Electric power generating system can be seen as a typical example of Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System: The demand of the power generating system has various levels, characterized by different states. If the available capacity of generating system is lower than the demand, the system will fail. Despite their existence in real life applications, the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F Systems, however, have not been clearly defined and studied.

In this short communication, the basic model of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System is defined in Section 2. The relationship between the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System and the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: G System is also analyzed. Section 3 gives an illustrative example. Section 4 concludes this paper.

2. Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System Models

In a Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\) System, component \(i\) in state \(j\) carries a weight of \(w_{ij}\), \(1 \leq i \leq n\), \(0 \leq j \leq M\), representing a given contribution to system performance [1]. The formal definition of the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System model is given below.

**Definition of a Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): F System:** Each component in the system can be in \(M+1\) possible states: 0, 1, 2, ..., \(M\), with \(M\) being the perfect state of the system. The system is in state \(j^F\) or lower (\(1 \leq j^F \leq M\)) if the total weight of all components is lower than or equal to a predefined value \(k^F_j\) (\(k^F_1 < k^F_2 < \ldots < k^F_M\)).

Denoting by \(\Phi^F\) the state of the system, we then have \(\Pr(\Phi^F \leq j^F) = \Pr(W \leq k^F_j)\), where \(W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\). Because state \(M\) is the perfect state of the system, we have \(\Pr(\Phi^F \leq M) = 1\).

The defined Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): F System can be considered as a mirror image of the Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): G System.

Let \(G = \sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m - W\). If \(W \leq k^F_j\), then \(G \geq \sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m - k^F_j\). Let \(k^G_j = \sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m - k^F_j\) represent the predefined value for the state \(j^G\) (\(j^G = M+1-j^F\), \(1 \leq j^G \leq M\)), then we have an order \(k^F_1 < k^F_2 < \ldots < k^F_M\). Suppose the system is in state \(j^G\) or above if \(G\) is greater than or equal to \(k^G_j\). We then have \(\Pr(\Phi^G \geq j^G) = \Pr(G \geq k^G_j)\). Based on the definition [1], the system can be represented as a Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): G System. We have \(\Pr(\Phi^G \geq j^G) = \Pr(\Phi^F \leq j^F)\), which indicates that the state distribution of a Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): F System is equal to the state distribution of a Multi-state Weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): G System.

3. Example

Consider a multi-state weighted \(k\)-out-of-\(n\): F system with two components. Each component and the system have three possible states: 0, 1, and 2. Table 1 gives the weight and probability parameters of these components. To obtain the state distribution of the system, we model it by the universal generating function (UGF) method, a sound approach for reliability evaluation of multi-state systems. UGF was introduced in [4] to reduce the computational complexity for MSS. Further developments and applications of the UGF were presented in [5] - [7]. In this example, \(n=2\), \(M=2\), \(k^F_1 = 2.2\), and \(k^F_2 = 4.1\).
Based on the individual UGFs of the original components, the UGF of the system can be obtained by using the $\Omega_i$ operator.

**Table 1: Parameters of the Components**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State $j^F$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$w^F_{1j}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^F_{2j}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^F_{1j}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^F_{2j}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k^F_j$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$U_{\phi}(z) = \Omega_i[U_i(z)U_z(z)]$$

$$= \Omega_i[(0.1\cdot z^0 + 0.4\cdot z^1 + 0.5\cdot z^2), (0.1\cdot z^0 + 0.2\cdot z^1 + 0.7\cdot z^2)]$$

$$= 0.01\cdot z^0 + 0.04\cdot z^1 + 0.02\cdot z^2 + 0.07\cdot z^3 + 0.05\cdot z^{21} + 0.08\cdot z^{22} + 0.28\cdot z^3 + 0.1\cdot z^{33} + 0.35\cdot z^{41}$$

The above UGF gives the probability distribution of performance (i.e., performance distribution) of the system. From (1) we can obtain the system state distribution for values $k^F_1 = 2.2$, and $k^F_2 = 4.1$:

Pr($\Phi^F \leq 2$) = Pr($W \leq 4.1$) = 0.01 + 0.04 + 0.02 + 0.07 + 0.05 + 0.08 + 0.28 + 0.1 + 0.35 = 1

Pr($\Phi^F \leq 1$) = Pr($W \leq 2.2$) = 0.01 + 0.04 + 0.02 + 0.07 + 0.05 + 0.08 = 0.27

Therefore the probabilities for the system to be in state 2 or below, and in state 1 or below are 1 and 0.27, respectively.

4. Conclusions

In this short communication, we define the Multi-state Weighted k-out-of-n: F System model. More comprehensive studies on its use and related implications are being conducted and will be presented in a full paper.
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