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Software Development

SDL Specification

Code Generation (automatic/manual)

C/C++/Java Program Version I

Maintenance (standard upgrade, new features, evolution, .........)

Revised SDL Specification

Code Generation (automatic/manual)

C/C++/Java Program Version II

Maintenance (standard upgrade, new features, evolution, .........)
Our Vision

- Workflow Specification
- Petri Specification
- UML Specification
- SDL Specification

(semi)automatic

Architecture/Design-Based Analysis of SDL

Assessment and Diagnosis of Architecture/Designs as well as Source Code

C/C++/Java Program

Program-Based Analysis of C/C++/Java

Trace (Dynamic Run-time Information)
Software Architecture Design in SDL

• SDL (Specification and Description Language) is an object-oriented, formal language for designing complex, real-time, and communicating systems
  – Visit http://www.sdl-forum.org for more details

• The architectural design of a software system in SDL can be viewed as a collection of blocks and processes communicating with each other by exchanging signals through channels.
  – An SDL specification provides a process view of a system's architectural design
Life of an SDL Specification

Requirements → Architectural Design → Black-box Testing

Question: Is this enough?

SDL Editor → SDL description → SDL Compiler → Simulator Validator → Test Case Generator → C Code Generator

White box-based: Coverage Testing, Debugging, and Test Generation

Errors → Dynamic errors → TTCN test suite → Application (.exe, ...)

Conformance Testing

Q: What if the SDL specification is not correct?
The graphical representation is called GR and the textual representation is called PR (Phrase Representation).

- Automatic translation between GR and PR can be done.
Coverage Testing SDL Specifications (1)

- The textual representation of SDL specifications can be viewed as “programs” in a specification and description language, just like programs in C.

  - All the testing methods applied to C programs, including random testing and functional testing (both are black box oriented) as well as control flow-based and data flow-based white box coverage testing, can also be applied to SDL specifications.
  - How much of the design specification is currently tested?
  - What is missing?
  - Need help in creating tests?

Analyzing the control-flow graph of an SDL specification to find the dominant blocks, decisions, etc. For example, when a test case covers highly dominant blocks it will cover many other blocks.

Visualizing coverage in SDL specification and its control-flow graph

A control flow graph is generated for each SDL process

The textual representation displays the SDL source code, whereas the control flow graph makes its flow of control more evident
Coverage Testing SDL Specifications (3)

There are two decisions: one at block 5 and the other at block 3.

Double-clicking on block 5 to view the associated branches.

This decision (either the TRUE branch and/or the ELSE branch) has the highest weight.

Double-clicking on the decision shows the corresponding branches with their respective weights.

The decision at block 5 has two branches: one from block 5 to block 6, and the other from block 5 to block 7.

Here is the decision.

Here are the branches of this decision.

The TRUE branch has already been covered.

The ELSE branch has a weight of 1.
When you develop a testing/maintenance tool, you should consider:

- Ease of use
- Visualization
- Prioritization
- Granularity
- Incrementability
- Extensibility
- Portability
- etc.
The alternating bit protocol, which is a simple form of the “sliding window protocol” with a window size of 1, is used as the example.

It can be used to provide reliable communication over non-reliable network channels through a one-bit sequence number (which alternates between 0 and 1) in each message.
Alternating Bit Protocol (2)

• The alternating bit protocol is constituted by a sender and a receiver who exchange messages through two channels, Medium1 and Medium2.

  – When the sender sends a message (containing a protocol bit, 0 or 1) to the receiver through Medium1, it sends the message repeatedly (with the corresponding protocol bit) until receiving an acknowledgment from the receiver that contains the same protocol bit as the message being sent.

  – When the receiver receives a message, it sends an acknowledgment to the sender through Medium2 and includes the protocol bit of the message received.

  – The first time the message is received, the protocol delivers the message for processing. Subsequent messages with the same bit are simply acknowledged.

  – When the sender receives an acknowledgment containing the same bit as the message it is currently transmitting, it stops transmitting that message, flips the protocol bit, and repeats the protocol for the next message.

  – This implies that the sender associates each message with a protocol bit which is alternated between 0 and 1 to differentiate consecutive messages.
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Questions

• Do you know how much of your SDL code has been tested?
• What is still missing?
• How to generate additional test cases to execute the uncovered code in an effective way?
**CAT<sub>SDL</sub>: A Coverage Analysis Tool for SDL Specifications**

- Given an SDL specification, $CAT_{SDL}$ performs instrumentation on it by inserting a probe, a user-defined function, at appropriate locations.

- The resulting instrumented specification is then exported to an SDL simulator, (Telelogic Tau in our case) for simulation.

- A file is created to record the trace information during the simulation.

- As subsequent simulation continues, the trace information is appended to the trace file which is then exported back to $CAT_{SDL}$ for coverage analysis.
CAT<sub>SDL</sub> Demo
Research Issues on Coverage Testing (1)

• How to reduce the amount of instrumentation?
  – That is, how to reduce the number of probes inserted in the source code?
    □ One probe per line of code
    □ One probe per block
    □ One probe per superblock
    □ What are the pros and cons?

• What kind of information should be collected at run time?
  – Executed or not executed
  – Execution counts of each testable attribute
  – Execution sequence

• What kind of information should be collected at parsing?
  – Number of testable attributes
  – Locations of each testable attribute

• Can the techniques used for coverage testing software on Windows be applied to coverage testing embedded software? Real-time applications?
Research Issues on Coverage Testing (2)

- In addition to reporting what has been tested and what is still missing, we would also like to
  - Decompose coverage information based on users’ needs
    (Do not just report a single number with respect to all the tests for the entire program)
  - Provide useful hints for efficient test generation to effectively increase the coverage of the program being tested
  - Use coverage as a filter to show how the number of test cases can be reduced significantly without sacrificing the overall code coverage
  - Test cases in the reduced subset have a higher priority to be executed when revalidating the program during the regression testing
  - Conduct effective fault localization based on how the program is executed by each test